Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/003,110	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
	John Juba, Jr.	2872
All Participants:	Status of Application: Ex Parte Quayle	
(1) <u>John Juba, Jr.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Updeep S. Gill.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 9, 10, 19, and 20 Prior art documents discussed: (none)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview
(Framinar/90E Signatura) (Annliant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature _ if appropriate)
(Examiner/8PE Signature) (Applicant	White our a Wehlese Haring o	ignature – ii appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 1, 9, 18, 19, and 20 distinguish over the prior art, but identified specific informalities in claims 1, 9, 10, 19, and 20. Discussed possible changes that would overcome the informalities. Applicants' representative will contact Applicants prior to approving any such changes.